

Immigration Argumentative Essay Examples

Example # 1:

Why Has Immigration Become So Controversial?

The development of individuals between nations is known as movement. Migration alludes to the development of individuals starting with one country or locale then onto the next with the motivation behind looking for lasting habitation. Individuals cross their country's limits looking for better open doors in training and profession. The U. S keeps on having a standout amongst the most open movement strategies on the planet. Migrants migrate for some reasons. Some reasons are employment, economic or social conditions, military conflict and political turmoil. Immigrants are needed because there are jobs that Americans simply won't do. Immigrants have a reputation as very loyal and extremely hard working. Many can't say that immigration is completely advantageous but it's not that it has only cons; there are pros too.

As a reader of "Ready for Some Futbol?" by Oscar Casares, I can definitely determine its pros and cons throughout the passage. Someone once said, "Don't judge a book by its cover", and I think that can apply to many things but a good example is immigration. The line in the story that shows a positive controversy is "'Can you wait a minute, sir?' he asked. And then we both stayed on the line, listening to 'The Star-Spangled Banner' playing in the background." For me, this shows that no matter if you're an immigrant or you've recently moved to the U. S, you must show support of the National Anthem of the U. S. In this part of the passage shows plenty of respect. He's getting interviewed after a huge win and the moment The Star-Spangled Banner starts playing, he asks to stop the interview and focus on being silent for the anthem. As for my family, I come from an immigrant family that moved years ago from Greece to America. A positive effect on immigration is that it leads to exchange of cultural values. It serves as an opportunity to interact with people of other countries. It gives a platform for people from diverse backgrounds to come together and share their views.

One can see this just by seeing how the man at the endThe individuals who restrict movement change contend that there is no connection between migration rates, wrongdoing rates, and fear based oppression. Likewise, the resistance proposes that there is no connection among movement and expanded joblessness. A demonstration that I believe was called, Dream Act, was an approach to indicate support to the migrants and give the foreigners as of now living in the United States access to social administrations like Social Security, Medicare, and joblessness protection programs. Inside twenty years, settlers and their kids will represent a large portion of our work drive development. Swarming, that is, increments in the populace thickness in specific areas, is one of the essential drawbacks of movement. It suggests an inordinate utilization of the assets of one country that may prompt irregularity of regular assets. Those are a portion of the cons of movement in the United States.

Therefore, the goal of this paper has been to provide information about the effect of immigration, in positive and negative courses, on American culture to more readily illuminate the arrangement dialog about what sort of migration approach ought to be received later on. The manner, in which I see things, is that movement certainly has its upsides and downsides, yet I tend to see a greater amount of the negative impacts it has on this nation. Whatever one's perspective of migration, it is fundamentally essential to comprehend that its impact on America speaks to a decision. Additionally, the level of assets dedicated to diminishing unlawful migration can likewise be lessened or expanded. Migration will keep

on being a zone that is bantered later on. Numerous Americans bolster the possibility of migration change, while others contend that the U. S is a country that was established by settlers.

Example # 2:

The Views Of Different Leaders On The Issue Of Immigration And Refugees

The issue of immigration and refugees is one of great debate, each leader and country has their own perspective on the issue. This issue could be placed on the left side of the spectrum as well as the middle along with moderate positions. People on the left and middle side would agree with the idea of bringing immigrants with obvious concern and thought before just allowing immigrants into the country leaders like Justin Trudeau and Barack Obama have been open to immigration and taking in refugees from war torn countries. It's seen as a liberal perspective because it is a human right to have somewhere safe to live and to seek refugee through government policy favoring change and being open towards it.

As for moderates, they would believe that it is equality with some inequality as well as keeping in mind national interests. Someone who falls on the right side of the spectrum like a conservative would disagree with this due to their wants of maintaining the equilibrium of the state they are in as well as keeping social order. Believing that bringing in people would cause havoc and change everything drastically. For example, Donald Trump sees immigration as something of less importance he stands to "Put America First" before any other thing. Nationalistic views like his could be seen as positive but Trump is willing to put up walls and send immigrants back home by ICE (Immigration and Customs Enforcement) for something as small as running a red light. This is when it becomes a negative stance. DACA (Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals) is an immigration policy that allows for children who've moved here at a young age to be safe under labeled as dreamers. Dreamers are protected under DACA from ICE and other immigration problems. Trump had planned to end DACA in March of 2018 but it was overridden. Trump's action if they had gone further could even be seen as reactionary, though they are not. Someone who falls under reactionary is someone who blames immigrants for every negative outcome for example water shortage would be blamed on immigrants. A reactionary would result to violence to stop immigration. A reactionary wants to go back to a time where it was just the original group of people, no diversity or difference. Theresa May said that immigration policies are "almost reminiscent of Nazi Germany".

Hitler's actions could be considered radical, I say this because Hitler wanted to get rid of a group of people by the most terrible means. He wanted change he wanted it to be the Nazi's and went to extremes to make sure of that. A radical perspective on immigration would be someone similar to Hitler but Hitler's issues were not based on immigration. Both reactionary and radical perspectives could lead to assimilation of an entire group of people or multiple for that matter.